ON THE NOMENOLATURE OF THE WHALEBONE WHALES OF THE TENTH EDITION OF LINN.EUS'S SYSTEMA NATURE.

By Frederick W. True,<br>Head Curator, Iepartment of Biology.

The present paper is intended as an introduction to an inquiry as to the identity of the whalebone whales frequenting respectively the Eastern and the Western Atlantic.

The species inhabiting European waters lave been studied by many naturalists for a very long time, and may be said to be well known. Those of the American coasts and of African waters, on the contrary, have received less attention and are much less well understond. As regards North American species, some zoologists have tacitly assumed that they were identical with the European forms, while others have bestowed new names on the various specimens which have come under their observation. The whole subject is, therefore, involved in an uncertainty, which is a hindrance to the progress of cetology in many ways, and especially prevents a correct understanding of the geographical ristribution of these liuge animals.

As a preliminary step to the investigation of this subject, it has seemerl to me resirable that the nomenclature of the European species should be thoroughly established. Taking as the starting point the Tenth Edition of Linnuus's Systema Natura, I have endeavored to ascertain whether the names in current use for the species are the correct ones according to accepted canons of nomenclature.

It has to be said that the species of cetaceans in the Systema are no more to be certainly determined from the diagnoses than many other Linntean species. The main reliance has to be placed on the bibliographical references and synonymy, but even with these lielps the Linnatan species are not always to be identified beyond peradventure. Nevertheless, the tendency of zoologists in the main is to adopt these names whenever at least a colorable defense of them can be inarle. This is, undoubtedly, on the whole, a wise course, as the tendency of all nomenclatural investigations is to go back as far as is in anywise warrantable. If one goes no farther back than the earliest fully-established name, there are always those who, taking up tbe matter anew, will
argue in favor of an earlier though less well-founded name. By falling back at once to the earliest name that, under rules generally accepted, can be adopted with any plausibility whatever, such discussions are cut off, and stability is on the whole promoted.
It will be a fortunate day for zoology when the names of animals are determined by common assent, without regard to history or recourse to argument.
No special originality is claimed for the conclusions reached in this paper, some of which have been hinted at by Sir William Turner and other cetologists. I believe, however, that the fact that the Linnean names for the finback whales are based exclusively on Martens's and Sibbald's descriptions is now for the first time demonstrated.

The European species of whalebone whales (including the bowhead among the number) now curreutly recognized are as follows:

1. The bowhead, Greenland or Arctic right whale, known as Balcena mysticetus.
2. The black whate, nordcaper or Basque whale, known as Batcena biscayensis.
3. The common finback or rorqual, known as Balcenoptera musculus.
4. The blue whale, known as Balumoptera sibbaldii.
5. Rudolphi's whale or rorqual, known as Balfenoptera borealis.
6. The little piked whale or lesser rorqual, known as Balenoptera rostruta.
7. The humpback, known as Megaptera boops or longimana.

The species of whalebone whales described by Limmeus are as forlows:

1. Buluma Mysticetus.
2. Baluna P'hysalus.
3. Balena Boops.
4. Balcena Musculus.

It is questionable how far Linusens was personally familiar with specimens representing the species he described.

He states in the Systema that he had access to the collections of King Adolphas Frederick and Queen Louise Ulrica of Sweden, Tessiu's and De (ieer's museums, and the museums of the Upsala and Stockholm academies. There is no mention of specimens of cetaceans in the catalognes of these collections published in Linneus's time, with a single exception. In the catalogue of the Adolphus Frederick Museum (p. 51 ), he rerords a futus of a whale under the name of Baluna !!renlandica. It appears to be a Greenland whale, but as we shall presently see, Linneus afterwards referred it to the finbacks. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]THE BOWHEAD OR GREENLAND RIGHT WHALE.
The first species described by Linnreus is Balfena Mysticetus (p. 75).
The diagnosis "B. naribus flexuosis in medio capite, dorso impenni" is generic, rather than specific. The babitat-"In oceano Gronland-ico"-points to the bowhead whale. The description is in part erroneous and for the rest consists of generic or supergeneric characters.

The bibliographic references include the works of Artedi, Willonghby, Rondelet, Ray, and Martens, and Linnæus's own writings. I diseuss them for convenience in chronological order, as follows:
"Rond. pisc. 475" = RONDELET, De Piscibus Marinus, 1554, p. 475.
Rondelet gives the characters, though with some evident inaccuracies, of a species of right whale which occurs "on the coast of the Aquitanian Sea and in India." He states explicitly that it has no fin on the back ("In dorso nullam habet").

As the Greenland whale, or bowhead, was unknown to the European naturalists of Rondelet's time, it may be presumed that the species he hat in mind was the black whate or nordeaper. Gervais has called special attention' to the following sentence, which, in his opinion, points conclusively to the nordcaper: "Rostro est brevi, fistula caret, corio duro, nigro integitur sine pilis, cui lepades et ostren harentia alr. quando reperiuntur." ${ }^{2}$

Rondelet cites localities and facts indicating that he was familiar with the whale fishery of the Basques in the Bay of Biscay, which had for its object, as Fisclier, Vau Beneden, and others have shown, the black whale or nordcaper.

Rondelet writes under the heading "De Balæna vulgo dicta sive de Musculo," aud a large part of the chapter consists of a discussion as to the identity of the Musculus of Pliny and other writers.
"Will. icht. 35 " = WILLOUGHBY, Ichthyographia (or Historia Piscium), 1686, p. 35.
Willonghby in this place, under the heading "Balana Rondeletii, Gesueri \& aliorum-The Whale," merely repeats the observations of Roudelet, John Faber (the expositor of Hernandez's natural history of Mexico), Polydorus Virgil (Bishop of Bath and Wells, 1.502 to 1555), and others, and adds some comments of his own on the various records. These records appear to refer severally to the right whales, the finbacks, and the humpback.

No attempt is made to formulate a diagnosis of any particular species.

[^1]"Raj. pisc. 6" = RAY, Synopsis Methodica Piscium, 1713, p. 6.
The nomenclature in this work is polynomial, and we find instead of a specific name the usual brief diagnosis, consisting, however, of generic and supergeneric characters. This is followed by an extended account of the supposed species compiled from early writers. From the localities given it is evident that the bowhead and black whale or nordcaper are confomded. Thus the anthor mentions a specimen reported by Faber as being stranded in 1624 not far from the maritime fortress of St. Severus, about 30 miles from Rome; of another near Corsica in 1620, and a third stranded in 1532 (according to Polydorus Virgil) at Tynemonth, England. These, if they refer to right whales at all, were the black whale and not the bowhead. On the other hand, Ray quotes from Martens, who observed the bowhead at Spitzbergen.
"Art. gen. 76 " = ARTEDI, Genera Piscium, 1738, p. 76.
"Sym. 106" = ARTEDI, Synonymia Nominum Piscium, 1738, p. 106.
Artedi in the two works cited gives a brief diagnosis without genuine specific characters, and describes a specimen which he himself examined in London, November, 1734 . It is highly probable that his description, which appears to indicate clearly that he had before him a right whale or true Balana, relates to the black whale or nordcaper. In the Genera Piscium, however, he cites among his bibliographical references Marten's "Balenu s'pitsbergensis," which was the bowhead or Greenland whale. He also gives "Greenland whale" as the English name of his species, and "Grönlands Hualfisk" as the Swedish name. It is evident that Artedi did not distinguish between the black whale and the bowhead.

```
"Faun. suec." \(264=\) LINN厌US, Fauna Suecica, 1746, No. 264 (p. 98).
```

This work is polynomial, and at the place cited we find only what is really an attempt at a brief diagnosis, consisting, however, of generic characters, followed by citations of numerous early authors, such as Gesner and Jonston, and the remarks "Grönlands walfisk of the Swedes;" "inhabits the Atlantic Ocean, feeding on medusie."
"Syst. nat. 39, n. i."
I understand this to refer to the ninth edition of the Systema Nature. The matter, however, is not on page 39 but on page 40. Under No. 1 we have the same references to the Fauna Suecica and Artedi's Synonymia which we find in the tenth edition of the Systema Nature, now under consideration. The entire matter is as follows: "1. Balana fistula in medio capite, dorso caudem versus acuminato. Art. syn. 106, Fn. 264. Mysticetus. la Balaine."

No new or independent information will be looked for here.
It will be perceived from the foregoing examination of Linnans's authorities that these writers had no clear notion of the distinction between the black whale and the bowhead, or even in some cases between the different types of whalebone whales, and that it is conse-
quently impossible to arrive at any definite conclusion as to the applicability of Linneus's name mysticetus from a study of their works. We must therefore get what satisfaction we can from Limnens's own words, and it would seem that even here the only certain indication is to be found in the phrase "lives in the Greenland Ocean." This, as already mentioned, may probably be considered as a sufficient warrant for attaching the specific name "mysticetus" to the bowhead. There is, so far as I know, no record of the occurrence of the black whale in Greenland waters, and, furthermore, it is well known that there was an exteusive fishery for the bowhead in those waters in Limmens's time.

## THE FINBACK AND HUMIPBACK WHALES.

We pass now from the bowhead to the consideration of the other whalebone whales mentioned by Linneus. These are three in numberPhysalus, Boops, and Musculus.

## r. BALENA PHYSALUS.

Linnaus's diagnosis of this species is as follows: "A whale with the nostrils in the middle of the head; an arlipose fin at the end of the back." " The only other bit of information is-" lives in the Emropean Ocean."

It is evident that these data, though they suffice to indicate a finback whale, are insufficient to enable us to associate the name Physalus with any one of the four European species, and if the matter is to be resolved at all it will be throngh the help of the anthorities cited by Limmens. Taking these in chronological order, the first we meet with is Rondelet. The citation is as follows:
"Physeter, Rond. pisc. $485 "=$ RONDELET, De Piscibus marinus, 1554, p. 485.
The whale which Rondelet describes under the name of Physeter, in the work above mentioned, is probably the sperm whale, though the figure which heads the chapter represents an animal having teeth in both jaws and the blowhole on the top of the head, somewhat as i. Orea. In any event, it can not be counted among the whalebone whales, and Limmeus was in error in citing it under this or any other of his species of Balena.
"Physalis bellua s. Physeter. Gesn. pisc. 723."
I understand this to refer to Gesner's Historia Animalium, Liber IV, and possibly the edition of 1620 . I have seen ouly the first edition of 1558 , in which, on page 851 , begins a chapter entitled "De (Physalo bellua, seu) physetere, rondeletius." The description here given is quoted verbatim from Rondelet, and the figure which heads the chapter is also from that author. So far as the present species is concerned, therefore, Gesuer's work can not be cited as an independeut source of information.
"Finfisch. Martens. spitsb. 125. t. q. f. c."=MARTENS, Spitzbergische oder Gronlandische
Reise Beschreibung gethan im Jahr 1671. Hamburg, 1675, p. 125, pl. Q, fig. c.
In chronological order, Martens comes next after liondelet and Gesner among the authors cited by Linnwus. Martens visited Spitz. bergen ${ }^{1}$ in 1671, and his Beschreibung includes an account of the various marine animals found in the waters thereabouts.

These descriptions are very full for the time in which they were written, and are of especial interest, both becanse they represent the sonrce from which many later authors drew their information, and because they appear to have been based largely on personal observation.

Martens's description of the "Finfisch" is as follows:
The finfish is equal to the whale [Balana mysticctu8] in size.
As to thickness, the whale is, however, three or four times as thick as the finfish.
The linfish is recognized when in motion by the "Flossfedern" or fins, which stand on the hack, near the tail.
It is distinguished also by the strong spouting from the right whale, which does not hlow so powerfnlly.
The eminence on its head is divided longitudinally, and this is its blowhole, out of which it hlows the water higher and stronger than the whale.

The eminence, however, is not so high as in the whale, and the back is likewise not so deeply furrowed.

The lips of the finfish are of a brownish color and diversified with plaits (Kranscn), like a line or streak.

On the upper lip hangs the so-called whalebone, as in the whale, but whether it opens and shuts its month is variously believed. Some hold that it can not shat its month. It is not so, however. It moves (but not always) with open month. Its whalebone, like that of the right whale, does not hang ont on the sides outside the lips. It can shnt its mouth completely when it will.

The inside of the mouth between the whalebone is entirely rough with hairs, like horsehair, which are sitnater on the inside of the whalebone and un the little whalebone, which develops first, and is blue in color.

The other whalebone is brown in color; also dark brown, with yellow streaks, which is considered the oldest. ${ }^{2}$

The blne is foumd in young whales and finfish
In color it [i. e., the finfish] is not velvet black, like the whale, but like the fisll called "Schley" [the tench, Tiuca rulyaris].

The form of the body is long, ronnd, and slender, and has not so much blubher as the whale, on which account one does not particularly care to catch the finfish, as it does not repay one for the trouble.

It is much more dangerons to kill than the whale, becanse it can move and turn much rmicker, for it strikes about it with his tail and from it (ron sich) with its flippers, called fins, so that one can not come near it with boats, when the lances help most to kill it. ${ }^{3}$

This description is accompanied by a figure which shows with considerable fidelity the characteristic form of a finback whale, but the furrows of the throat are wanting, a peculiarity which has attracted the attention of many zoologists.

[^2]Martens's description of the form, color, etc., of his finfish, and especially also of the whalebone, corresponds with the common finback, usually known as Balcnoptera musculus. With the other three species of finbacks known to frequent the waters of northwestern Europe it shows less close agreement. In the prescnt state of knowledge we may, I think, on the basis of the color of the whalebone alone, decide that Martens's description applies to the common finback.

Martens states in his Beschreibung ${ }^{1}$ that all the illustrations are from sketches of his own from life. We may believe, therefore, that his description and figure of the finfish are based on direct observation of specimens. As above mentioned, it is somewhat singular that he neither clescribes nor illustrates the throat furrows, which are so characteristic of all finback whales. F. Cuvier accounts for this on the ground that Martens did not regard the furrows as an essential character, but this seems hardly sufficient. It is possible that the figure was made by Martens from memory and not with the whale before him.
"Balæna edentula, corpore strictiore, dorso pinnato, Raj. pisc. 9 " $=$ RAY, Eynopsis methodica Piscium, 1713, p. 9.
The description accompanying this polynominal is nothing more than a Latin translation of Martens's account of the "Finfisch," somewhat abbreviated and paraphrased. It has in itself therefore no independent value as a means of determining to what species Linneus's name Physalus is to be applied. Ray's species is simply Martens's "Finfisch" under another name.
"Will. icht. 41 " = WILLOUGHBY, Historia Piscium, 1686, p. 41.
On the page cited Willoughby merely quotes the first part of Rondelet's account of the Physeter, leaving out a few words here and there. He adds a few critical remarks regarding the Physalus of Oppian and the Pristis of Roudelet and Bellon, but nothing of the slightest service in connection with the present inquiry.

Willoughby appears to have known nothing of Martens's Beschreibung.
"Art. gen. 77 " $=$ ARTEDI, Genera Piscium, 1738, p. 77.
Two species of whales are described by Artedi in the place cited. The one referred to by Linnaus in the citation quoted above is No. 2"Balcena fistula in medio capite, tubere pinniformi in extremo dorso."

Artedi merely cites Ray and paraphrases and abbreviates his description, without giving any new information. Ray, as we have seen, copies Martens, and hence we have to fall back again on the latter author in determining with what species Linnreus's name is to be associated.
"Art. Syn. 107 " = ARTEDI, Synonymia Nominum Piscium, 1738, p 107.
Under the heading "Balana fistula in merlio capito, tubero pinniformi in extremo dorso," Artedi cites Ray, pp. 9-10, as the principal
synonym, and adds references to ten early authors, Alian, Pliny, Gesner, etc., with the remark, "These synonyms are seen to pertain to the same species with 'a'" [i. e., Ray].

We have already considered Ray's description, and have concluded that it was copied from Martens, aud refers to the common finback.

The descriptions of the earlier anthors are for the most part indeterminable, so far as species are concerned, and some relate to entirely different classes of animals. Elian's Physalus, for example, is a small fish of the Red Sea, which has the power of inflating itself and floating on the waves. This was probably a globefish, or Tetrodon.

The Plysseter of Rondelet was very probably the sperm whale, as we have already stated.
"Faun. suec. 265 " = LINNÆUS, Fauna Suecica, 1746, p. 99, No. 265.
Linnteus in this place copies Artedi's diagnosis, which the latter in turn took from Ray, and he from Martens. He cites some six of the early authors-Gesner, Jonston, etc.-where whales called "Physeter" are mentioned, but some of them at least, as we have already seen, treat of the sperm whale.

Linnæus adds this independent information: "Lives in the Norwegian sea and is eaten by the natives; tastes nearly like the sturgeon, which was eaten daily by the natives while it migrated by morthern Finmark to the highest part of Norway."

As four species of fimback whales are known to frequent Normegian waters, the one particularly referred to in this item can not, of course, be discriminated, though very probably it was the "common" finback.

Here, then, as in the case of Ray and Artedi, we are obliged to turn back to Martens as the only means of determining the proper use of the specific name Physalus. We have already decided that Martens's "Finfisch" was the common finback, usually called Balcenoptera musculus.

To sum up the matter, then, it seems necessary to bestow on the com mon finback the name of Balcenoptera physalus (Linnaens).

This is more mportant than would at first appear, because, as I shall hope to show, the specific name musculus, now in common use for this whale, is misapplied. Unless the Linnean name physalus is accepted, it will be necessary to apply some other cognomen. I am of the opinion, however, that physalus should be considered applicable.

## 2. BALÆNA BOOPS.

Linnæus's diagnosis of his Batana Boops is as follows: "B. fistula duplici in rostro, dorso extremo protuberantia cornea." (A whale with a donble blowhole in the snout; a horny protuberance at the end of the back.)

This diagnosis is in no wise specific, but, as we shall see presently, was taken from a description in Sibbald's Phalainologia. Linnreus adds
nothing further but certain synonyms (which we shall consider in order) and the remark "lives in the Northern Ocean."

It is clear that Limmems's diagnosis is not sufficient for the identification of the species, and if the proper use of the name borpss is to be ascertained at all it will be through the synonyms, which are as follows:
"Art. gen. 77 " $=$ ARTEDI, Genera Piscium, 1738, p. 77.
Upon turning to the place cited, we find the following:
3. Bulena fistula duplici in rostro, motuberantia corniformi in estremo dorso. . Irt. Sy.n. 107.

Dialena tripinnix, nares habens, cum roxtro [acuto] d. plicis in centre. Siblald. liay p. 16.

Anno 1690. 1. 17. Normbris in sinum quendam portus Brmatisland, in latare arstuarit Forthar Boreali in Scotia, ejecta fuit.
Rostrum respectu ad congeneres nentum. Plicas in ventre adsunt. Longitudo 46 pelum.
Fistula nasiformes ab apice rostri 6 perles \& 8 polliecs longar d septo divise. Oculi exigui. ${ }^{1}$

It is evilent that this entire matter was extracted from Sibbald's Phalainologia. The whale "stranded on the 17 th of November, $\mathbf{1 6 9 0}$," is one figured and described in detail in that work.

Art. "syn. 107 " $=$ ARTEDI, Synonymia Nominum Piscium, 1738, p. 107.
The species here referred to is ilescribed in full, as follows:
3. Balena fistula duplici in rostro, protuberantia cornuiformi in extremo dorso. Art. lialtuat tripimnis nares habens, cum rostro acuto of plicis in rentre. siblald.
Raj. p. 16.
It is evident that this is merely a repetition of the diaguosis given by Artedi in the Genera Piscium, and which he extracted from Sibbald's work.
"Balæna tripinnis nares habens cum rostro acuto \& plicis in ventre. Raj. pisc. 16" = RAY, Synopsis Methodica Piscium, 1713, p. 16.
The account given in the place cited above begins thus:
8. Balana tripinnis, nares habens, cum rostro acnto \& plicis in ventre.

Anno 1690, Noremb. 17, in sinum qnendan ad oreasnullortus Lirmisland dicti, in latere estuarii Forthe Boreali ejecta fuit hujusmodi Bellua.

This is likewise an abbreviation, with some paraphrasing, of the account by Sibbald of the whale stranded on November 17, 1690, in the Firth of Forth. Artedi, in turn, in 1738 copied the description of this same whale from Sibbald, as we liave seen.

[^3]'Throngh the kimlness of Dr. A. W. Keppel, libratian of the Limmean Socief y of London, l haverereived atranseript of the pages in this work which contaill mater relating to cetareans. It is all on pages $\overline{6} 1$ and 50, and this reference mast, therefore be regaded as a filseome. Whatever tho sperias of which limaras fombla specimen in the Adolphas Frederick Musemm, it is mot likrly to havo heen his boops. Fiurthermore, he makes a correct referemee to the work moler musculus, the speedes Which momes next aller boops.

This exhansts all of Limnaros's symonyms and biblographical eitations, aml all of the mather refered for has been shown to have its origin in Sibbula's l'halamologia. It remains, therelore, to examine this work.

The original edition of Sibhald's lhalathologia, poblished in 1692 , is a very rare hook. Inded, I do not know that there is a copy in the United shates. It was reprinted in-177: mader the elitorship of Thomas Pennant. 'Ihere is a e oby of this reprint in the lihary of Harvard College, which I have hat the pleasme of examining, throngh the comrtesy of the superintembent of cirenlation, Mr. 'Thomas d. Kiernan. Another eopy is in the library of the Acarlamy of Natmal Seiences, Philadelphia.

The finll titlo of the reprinted work (hamslated) is as follows: "Phaimologia Nova: or, ohservations on certala of the rarer whales recently shemded on the coast of Scotland: In which whales recently observed are divided among weneratand species aceording to charaters impressed by Nature herself; some now deseribed for the first time; errors in deseriptions also diselosed; and brief dissertations given on teeth, spermaceti, amithe origin of ambergris." ${ }^{2}$

Fxchalling the passages relating to writings of classical anthors and Those containing tho deseription of certan anatomical details, chapter there of this work, legemning jage (is, may be translated as follows :
 foulre.
(H) the suvalcenth day of Novamber, ligo, the following whato was cast up in a fertain bay fo the west of the harhor called Brantishaml, on the morth shore of the Firth of Fortli: * * *

This remarkable himd ot animal wats distinguished hy tho very shinimg surface of the hedy (as if il wore cant from has most rotimed brass), which wan very lomg,

[^4]and ippeared more memeler in form hy the proporion of the thicknese to the leneth. From the 'xtremity of the smont to the tail was lif foet; near the lateral tins, whero the hody was thickest, the circumference measmed with a cord was 20 feret. It ham two fins on the sides, and bersides these also on the baek toward the latil a rertain potaborance like a hom, which thellon calles a tin, lout our salors ane pylie-that is, a spine. The tail was hofureated, and placerl paralled to the hori\%ons. Thar whole skin of the body was very smonth; black and prellucid in eolor on the barli, white on the belly. On the belly from the natel to the lower faw and atl the way to the lateral fins wose vory many pheis or ridges, prolongod to that longth whieh was
 that kind of woman's cloak called Vantone-gorn. In this animal these folds were $L$ ineh hroal, and tho furmows helworn them in broalth and depth less than an inelf, and it appeared to me that the leamed bartholims knew of this compated belly. $\Lambda$ fin was locater on the hroast on each side; the anterior pati of the same was a fect from the oyo. The real fin of the hack was . 8 th fert from the tail; the girth of the borly in the neighhorhood of this was 12 leet. 'The vont was 14 feeb from thes oxfremity of the tail. The penis was situated betwern the mombliens and tho amms, and a littlo of it lung down; ent out and drawn ont ly a woight suspended, it attained searcely 2 foer in longth; the sheath from whicla it hang down was a
 the amms offaled one-half a foot. From the naral to the extremity of the lowne faw was $21 \frac{1}{2}$ feot ; the mavel was the size of at fist. 'Jhe tail was gh leot betwoen the onter points; where narrowor (coulractior), 2f fere. The tail wat placed fansversely. 'Thes skin, as remanked, was harek; the aticle was like the silk eloth salloel taffeta, very thin, hat the skin ognaled in thickness the laprerial roin called at dollar, ath overywhore hlack.

The haul in this animal helal the due proportion fo the rest of the body, and was oblong in form, curving gradnally to a cortan marowness hack of the smont; the shont was of a form betwern achte and whtuse, neither oxtended as in Pelphinus and ored, nor obthso as in Phocrma. 'This animal had mo spiracle in tho head, lut nostrils in the smont, of which more helow. 'The form ol the back was like an invercel ship, and the summit of the hack was like a keol, with the body receding from this rmaning ont into the greater brealth. It was possible to soe the whole body, which, floating on the waven, was turned now on one side, now on thes other.
 as John Fiabor deseribes in the placo cited abova; indeed, the lony, hack, ohrotmed, thick lip of the jaw romesponded to those fallands with which the smmmits of walls are erowned. Above the lowor jaw the longue reclined, which eonld be contracterl, amd was is feot long, and noar the roots 3 ferd broad; in substance, coblor, asm tigure clearly liko that of an ox, and almost of eqnal thekness with tho bradth. In the "phes jatw the nostrils were sitnated in the higher part, of the shonl, distant if feot $x$ inches from the extronity of tho uprer jaw. They wore also
 Finther, heginning from the broator basis ane gradnally eontracting to the narow eatremity, thes hroalont part at the base, with the sepumm elonder, was $f$ inchos; the oxtremity was 1 inch narower. The inside of the aportmre was lined with a rogose membrand of ablack eolor, like that seen in the mostrils of a horse. 'The lengtio of the opening of the month was 10 fert; the breath of the openime wast feet 2 inches, in which a lish was lying.

Thes lateral lins situated in the hoant were 5 feed long and if feet wide.
The third or dorsal tin consistod of a cortain peonlar glamblatar sulstane liko that of which the manmas aro composed in puadrupeds, but firmer and harder; the sphe traversed the middle of thas, and it was covered with a black skin.

## From the foregoing alescription we are ahb to extract the following characters.



We learn fiom these characters and measmements that the body was "very long and appeared more slender in form by the proportion of the thickness to the length;" that the dorsall fin was placed very far bate, aceording to the measurements even posterior the commencement of the last form of the length of the body; that the pectoral tins were quife shont, or abont as 1 to $9 \frac{1}{5}$, compared with the length of the borly; that the lower juw was moderately long, or abont as I fo 43 , comparea with the length of the body.

All thesr charateristics, especially the emaciated form, point very strongly to the common linback, usnally called Balamopiero musenlus.

From 13 . rostruta it is distinguished by size (total length, 46 feet), backwad position of the dorsal fin, longer jaws, and many other chamacters.

From lb. borenlis it is distinguished also by the backwand position of the dorsal fin and by the laneer pectoral fins.

In some features, especially the position of the dorsal fin, it coincides closely with the blue whale, 13 . sibbuldii, and might be thonght to represent a young individual of that species, but the slender form, the decidedly smaller peetoral fins, and somewhat shorter jaw militate against that identilication. Finthermore, Sibbald deseribes another whale, whirh, as will be seen presently, is much more likely to have been the blue whale, and is quite diflerent from the present one.

In the matter of color there is an apmarent deviation from the common timback, since Sibbald deseribes his specimen as black on the back
amd white on the belly, while Collett, Cocks, and others who have had aboudant opportmaties to stuly this species, describe it ats "grayish slate," or brownish, on the back. Sibbald's specimen, however, hat bren deal for at least a day when ho saw it, and it is well known that in cetacoans of all kinds grays raphidly turn to black after life is extmet.

Taking into consideration all the facts presented, there is apparently little reason for doubt that Sibbald's specimen was an immature common finback. At all events, the deseription tallies much more cosely with that speries than any of the other whalebone whales known to frequent European waters. Thas being granterl, it is obvions that Lin. nirus's mame, boops, which is based entirely on Sibbald's deserpiption and the later paraphases of it by other anthors, must be regarded as one of the specifie appellations of the eommon timback, and, as such, a symonym of Linnaens's physulus.'

Buropean anthors, beginning with liulolphi, ${ }^{2}$ have been disposed to regard limarns's species, boops, as representing the humplack (Megap). tera), but it is entirely certain that the mame can not be applied to any species of that genus.

## 3. BALENA MUSCULUS.

The last of Limatas's species of whalebone whales is lialera musculues. His diagmosis is as follows: "B. fistula dupliri in fronte, mexilla inferione multo latiorre" (" $\Lambda$ whate with a donble blowhole in the lore. head, and with the lower jaw much the wider.")

Ilis only comments are: "Lives in the Srotrln sea" and "provided this is sufficiently distinet from Mysticetus; Mus. Ad. Fr., 51, shonld be compared."

He eites three works, which will be consirlered in turn. The first is:
"Art. gen. 78 " = ARTEDI, Genera Piscium, 1738, p. 78.
The diagnosis here given is the same as that of Limnarms, the lat ter having eopied it verbatim. Artedi also eites "Ray."page 17 , and adds the following remarks: "It was east up on the sonthern shore of the Firth of F"orth in the month of September, 1692. Length, 78 feet. Lower jaw the wider and of a semicirenlar form. Blowhole pyramidal in form and divided with a septum toward the forehead. For the rest, see Sibbald."

These statements show conclusively that Arterli has taken his information from Sibbahl, who, as we shall see presently, deseribed a whate cast up on the shore of the Firth of Forth in this month and year.

[^5]Art "syn. 107 " = ARTEDI, Synonymia Nominum Piscium, 1738, p. 107.
The whole matter fomnd under this citation is as follows:

## 4. Bulena fistula duplici in fronte maxilla inferiore multo latiore. Art.

Balena tripiunis, macillam inferiorem rotundam, \& superiorem multo latiorem habens Sibbald. Raj. p. 17.

It will be observed that the first diagnosis, or polynominal designation, is the same as in the Genera Piscinm and in Linnaeus's Systema Naturie.

The second is copied verbatim from Ray's Synopsis Methodica Piscium, page 17.
"Raj. pisc. 17 " $=$ RAY, Synopsis Methodica Piscium, 1713, p. 17.
Ray in this place has only the diagnosis just mentioned, and a paragraph of description condensed from Sibbald, beginning, "In September, 1692, this [whale] was cast up on the southern shore of the Firth of Forth, near the ancient fortress of Abercorn," and ending, "For the rest, see the author" [i. e., Sibbald].

From the foregoing it is clear that Limmens obtained his information as to musculus solely from Ray and Artedi, who in turn obtained theirs from Sibbald. The name musculus mnst, therefore, be applied to the species (if it can be identified) which Sibbald saw in 1692 and describerd in his Phalainologia.

The description which occurs on page 78 of the reprint of his work is very long. It may be summarized as follows:

Chapter IV. Of the whale with three fins, which has the lower jaw round and much broader than the upper.

In the month of September of this year (1692), on the sonth shore of the Firtli of Forth, near the ancient fortress of Abercorn, was cast up a male whale 78 feet long.

It was believed that its girth exceeded 35 feet.
It was seen to l'e of that kind which Purchas (Vol. III, where he treats of whales) called Gibrata, except that it had horny plates on the palate, that the color of the back was black, and that it had a fin on the back; but the form of the month in this whale was pecnliar. The lower jaw was much broader and larger than the upper, and of semicircular shape; whence the head appeared obtnse and rounded.

The length of the lower jaw was 13 feet $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches, and the shape of the opening of the mouth approached an equilateral triangle. From the middle of the palate to the opposite part of the lower jaw the distance was 13 fect 2 inches.
'The upper jaw was narrower, and toward the extremity contracted and terminated more to a point, and so was received within the circuit of the lower, which, as already said, was broader and larger.

In the upper jaw the whole palate was seen to be covered with black hairs, or rather bristles, which hung above the tongue, with which, at the sides, equally separated, appeared black, horny plates; and in this particnlar whale the longest were 3 feet; 1 font broad where they emerged from the gum of the palate, becoming gratnally narrower where they tonched the tonge, finally terminating in a filamentose point; in the lower part (which was narrower), furnished throughont their whole leugth with hairs, the color of which was also black. Where the beak was most slender and narrow, these lamina were scarcely half a foot long and scarcely an inch broad. They were arranged in a bundle (fasciculus) and contained in a sort of sheath of the same substance with themselves.

The eyc.in this beast was located unt far from the place where the opening of the month began; from this (the eye) to the end of the leak the distance was 13 feet 2 inches. Freed from the cartilaginous substauce with which it was covered as with an involucre, and from the museles surronnding the same, the dianeter was 5 inches; but the punil of the eye was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, and in size and color the inside was like the eye of an ox.
Noblowhole was present in this beast, but toward the forelicad were to be seeu two large apertures approaching a pyramisl in slape. Their base was toward the forehead, and toward the narrow part of the beak they were gradually contracted very elosely. They were divided by a septum, but the turmoil [of the water] which prevailed did not permit of anything further being noted.

The lateral fin was 10 feet long, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet broad where widest, and was narrowed up toward the extremity, being there but 3 inches hroad. From this (the fin) to the opening of the month the distance was 6 feet 5 inches.

Besides the two lateral fins there was a third and smaller fin on the hack, abont 3 feet long and 2 feet high. From the lower part of this fin, that part of the tail where the bifnreation begins, the length was 12 feet 10 inches.

From the lower jaw to the navel the belly was full of folld or ritges, which were 2 inches broad, and the elevated part and excavated part of these were of equal measmre.
The penis, which hnng from the body not far from the navel, was 5 feet long, where thicker it was 4 feet ill girth, and it gradnally diminished to a very narrow extremity. It was placerl in an oblique position.

At 5 feet below the penis, opposite the dorsal fin, was placed the anus, distant about 12 feet from the lifureated tail; the margin was 1 foot long. The tail, from that part in which it was divided into two flukes to the upper extremity, was 10 feet long; the distance between the two extremities of this (the flukes) was $18 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

The blubber on the side was as much as $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches thick, and in the heal and in the neek where it was thickest did not exceed 1 font. The skin was one-half inch thick. The belly was whitish in color.

From this description we extract the following measurements of Sibbald's whate:

|  | Feet. Inclies. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total length | 78 |
| Length of lower jaw | $13 \quad 2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| From the eye to the end of the beak | 13 |
| Length of pectoral fin | 10 |
| Greatest breadth of pectoral tin | 26 |
| From pectoral fin to angle of month | 6 \% |
| Height of clorsal fin. | 20 |
| Length of dorsal fin (abont) | 30 |
| From posterior insertion of dorsal fin | $12 \quad 10$ |
| Breadth of flukes from tip to tip | 18 |
| Length of longest whalebono | 3 |
| Brealth of longest whalebone. |  |

We learn from the description and measmements that Sibbald had before him a finback whale is feet long, with the dorsal fin rery far back, the pectoral fin about one-seventh the total length, the whalebone having a maximum length of 36 inches, and both the plates themselves and the bristles black.
This combination of characters belongs to the blue whale (Balcenoptera sibbuldii Gray!, the largest of the known European finback whales. The black whalebone with its black bristles is especially characteristic.

Sibbald mentions the color explicitly and in such a manner as to leave no donbt as to the correctness of his observation. No other finback whale of European waters possesses this peculiarity. In the coalfish whate or Rudolphi's rorqual (Baldenoptera borealis Lessou) the whalebone itself is black, but the bristles are white.

The fact can not be ignored that Sibbald's description contains some discrepancies. Thns, for example, the lower jaw is shown in the measurements to barely exceed one-sixth the total length. In the blue whale, according to Collett, ${ }^{1}$ the length is 1 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$. There is, indeed, no European finback in which the jaw is as short as is indicated by Sibbald's measurement. The nearest is the little piked whale, B. rostrata, but in this species the total length rarely exceeds 30 feet. The whatebone is white and the external coloration pecnliar. ${ }^{2}$

We may now consider for a moment Linnaus's question, ${ }^{3}$ whether his musculus may not be the same as his mysticetus, and his reference to the "Museum Adolphi F'riderici Regis" (p. 51). In the latter work he describes a foetus which is clearly a right whale, and probably the Greenland whale. Indeed, he names it Balena gromlandica. In his diaguosis he states that the lower jaw is much the broader. Now, this is also the priucipal character of musculus, and was derived by Linnaus through liay and Artedi from Sibbald's Phalainologia. Had he but read Sibbald's description he would have found that the whate therein characterized had a relatively short mouth and a fin on the back, which his own Balena mysticetus (and probably identical B. groenlandica) had not.

## CONCLUSIONS.

As a result of this inquiry I am bronght to the following conchasions:

1. That the Limman names can without violence to the evidence be applied to certain of the European species.
2. That the specific name mysticetus should be applied to the bowhead or Arctic right whale, as is now the current practice.
3. That the specific name physalus should be applied to the common finback, currently denominated Balamopterı musculus.
4. That the whale named Balrena boops by Linnaus was an immature specimen of the common finback, and that the Linnrean names physalus and boops are, therefore, synonymous.
5. That the specific name musculus relates to the blue whale, currently called Balenoptera sibbaldii.
[^6]The list of species will, therefore, stand as follows:

1. Balana mysticetus Linnaens.

The bowhead or Arctic right whale.
2. Balipnoptera physalus (Linneus).

The common finback or rorqual.
Synonyms:
Balena physalus Limmens.
Balana boops Linmeus. (Yonng.)
Physalus antiquorum Gray.
Balconoptera musculus anct.
3. Balanoptera musculus (Linueus).

The blue whale.
Synonyms:
Balena musculus Linneus.
Balunoptera sibbaldii (Gray).
While three of the European species of whalebone whales are thus believed to be provided with their proper Limman names, four others not included in the Systema Nature are yet to be considered. These are the blaek whale, or nordcaper; the lesser rorqual, or little piked whale; Rudolphis rorqual; and the humpback.

The first of these passes currently under the name of Balumu biscoycnsis, but this appellation was not used in print by Eschricht until $1860 .{ }^{1}$ Subsequent to 1758 the first Latin name for this whale, accompanied by a description, appears to be Balrena glacialis, which oceurs in Bonnaterre's Cetologie, 1789.2 Comparing it with the bowhead, this author remarks: "This species only differs from the preceding by the color and by the dimensions of the body. * * * It lives in the seas of the North, near the coasts of Norway and of Iceland." In a footnote he remarks: "In France it is called Nord-Caper, Baleine de Sarde; in Germany, Nordlaper ; in Norway, Sildqual, Lilic-Hual, Nordlaper."

This would seem to be sufficient for purposes of determination, and the name Balena glaciulis should hold, unless discarded on the technical ground that gluciulis is not a suitable name for a whale which does not live in the ice, or because it may cause the species to be confounded with the bowhead, which lives only in the archic ice. Those who discard the name for these reasons, will probably adopt Kerr's term islandica (1792). ${ }^{3}$

The little piked whale bears currently the name of Baldnoptera rostrata. The name Balana rostruta is given for the first time with a

[^7]diagnosis by Miiller.' The diagnosis is merely " 1 P rostrut" minima rostro lometissimo et "untissimo." 'This might apply to a tonthed whate. It appeas to have been taken from Egente, who gives, under tho heading of swordish, a description which seems to relate partly to that fish, partly to the sawfish, and partly to the orea or killer whale.

The figure which he gives does not aceord at all with the main part of the description, as Fabricins long ago pointed out; but as far as it resembles any known anman, appars to represent the killer. It is not worthy of serions eonsideration."

The ligure of Pontoppidan, which is cited by Miiller, is that of a toothed whate and probably Ifyperö̈don, while the common mames (ited "Nebbe Hval," "Anderne-Fia," "Dogling," ete., refer also to that genns.

Viabricins, in 1780, introluces maler the same name, Bulama rostratu, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a whalebone whale, which in all pobability is the species muler colsideration. As the mame is preocenpied in Miiller's work, however, it can not be userl.

We find our species again muder another name for the first time in Lacréprde's Histoire Naturelle des Cétacés. ${ }^{\ddagger}$

It is here called Bulcomoptore weuto-rostruta, and it wonld appear that this mame must hold. The reseription is very full amd laty acemrate, and the figure is ummistakable.
|Since the loregoing was written an article by Mr. Oldfied Thomas on the techmieal names of British mammals has appared in the Koologist (Mardi, 1898), in whirh I observe that he accepts Bulcmopterd acutorostrutu as the proper name for this species.]

The Enropean hmmpack is currently designater Meyaptera boops. We have observed, however, that the Belema boops of Linnarus (1758) is not this animal. On the other lamb, the Bulren boops of Fabricins ( 1780 ) is umdonbtedly of the present gemns, and if the Greendand animal is the same would be applieable; but in any ease, as the name is preocompied, we shall have to seareh the later literature for a valid eognomen. This wonld appear to be fomal in Bomaterre's Belanu uodosu.5 'This species, however', is fommed on Dudley's aceonnt of the whales of New linglanf, and if there is a difference between the hmmpback of the eastern and that of the western Atlantic, this mame woild belong to the latererather than the former.

The next name in ehonological order is Rodolphi's Bulamer longimame, published in 1829 (?)." This was based on a specimen thrown 1 p

[^8]at Vogelsand at the month of the litbe in 1824, amt the mame is thoroughly valid. For the present puposes, therefore, the buropean hmmpback will be styled Megapleve longimemu (Rndolphi).

The generic name Megapher was bestowed by (ibay in 18.16.'
The earliest name for Rulolphis rompal is Bulame rostrala, employed by Rulolphi in 189.2.' This was preocenpied by Miiller in 1776 , as we have already seen. Snvier described and ligured Rudolphi's specimen in his Recherehes sur les Ossomens fussiles, muler the name of "Rorqual dan Nord." Lesson in 1828 copied the deseription muder the Latin name Balemoptera boretlis.3

Though Lesson's work is almost entirely a compilation, the name will hold.

The generic mame Batrinoplew originated with Lacéperlo in 180.3-0.1.4
The complete list of Enropean whalebone whales as now recognized will stand as follows:

1. Belleun mysticelus. Limmens. The bowhead, or Aretice right whale.
2. Balema glacialis Bomaterve. The black whate, or nordeaper.
3. Balamoptera physalus (Limmiris). The common finback, or rorqual.
4. Bule'noptera musenlus (Limmains). The blue whale.
万. Bulamoptera borealis Lesson. Rudolphi's rorqual.
5. Batamoptare acuto-vostrata Lacépirle. The little piked whale, or least rorpual.
6. Meýapler" lomyimuma (Rudolphi)."

The humpback.

[^9]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Van Beneden remarks of the bowhead and nordcaper:
    "Du Hamel, Linné, Pierre Camper, and, later Lacépède hare occupied themselves successively with these giants of the sea; but Pierre Camper alone had in his hands pieces of the true 'Baleine franche' [Balana mysticetur]: the others only knew them from figures, or from the accounts of explorers." (Hist. Nat. des Cétacés des Mers d'Europe, 1889, p. 52.)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Comptes Rendus, 5 June, 1871 , pp. 666, 667. See also Van Benerlen, Hist. Nat. des C'étacés des Mers d'Europe, 1889, p. 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Translation: It is provided with a short beak; the blowhole is wanting; it is covered with a hard black skin, without hairs, to which barnacles and oysters are sometimes found adhering.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or East Greenland, as it was then frequently called.
    ${ }^{2}$ In describing the Greenland whale, he refers to the whalebone as being "sometimes yellow in color, with parti-colored streaks, like that of the finfish" (p. 99).
    ${ }^{3}$ Spitzbergisehe oder Groenlïndische Reise, Beschreibung, 1675, pp. 125, 126.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Trunstation.-3. A whale with a donble hlowhole in the suout ; a horn-shaped protuberance at the end of the back. Artedi, Synonymia Nominum Piscinm, p. 107.

    A whale with three fins, having nostrils; with [an acnte] snont and with folds in the belly. Sibhald. Ray, j. 16.

    This was stranded on the 17 th of November, 1690, in a certain bay of the harbor of Bruntisland, on the north shore of the Firth of Forth, in Cootland.

    The snout, in comparison with its congeners, acute. loolds present ou the belly. Length, 46 feet.

    Nariform blowholes 6 feet from the tip of the shont and 8 inches long and divided by a septnm. Eyes small.

    Proc. N. M. vol. xxi-40

[^4]:    With the sxaphtion just mentioned.
    2 PHALANOLOOLA NOV゙
    
    
    
    
    
     vorhi divini minisfom, in | vioo dicto, The bishop's Land Closs. | lterum impressi, LONDINI, | apul BEN.I. WHITE, in Vian Plat street, MDCCLAXIIS.
    \& . 1p. 1-1 (mımmbered), 1-105. pls. 1-3.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sibhald published a figure of his nuecinen in the Phalamolegia, fl 1 , hat as, like other figures on the samo work, it is olsvonsly inscenrate, it can not be nsall in a critical exannination of specirs.
    ${ }^{2}$ Abhandl. K. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 18:9, 1. 13:3, 11s. 1-is.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Proc. Zool. Soc. London, $1 \times 86$, p. 265.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is true that in Sibbald's figmre the jaw is representerl as longer, or about as 1 to $4_{115}^{4}$. While this is nearer the proportion for the blne whale, it can not he denied that Sibbald's figmres are in many respects so inaccurate that they can hardly be brought forward as proof in doubtful points.

    It is interesting to note that the figure shows the under side of the peetoral fin white, which is characteristic of the blue whale.
    ${ }^{3}$ Syst. Nat., 10th ed., 1758, p. 76.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Revne and May. de Zool., 2d ser., 1860, p. 229.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tableau Encycl. and Méthod. des Trois Regnes de la Nature, Cetologrie, 1789, p. 3.
    The name Balaua glacialis occurs carlior (but subsequently to 1758) in Miiller's Zool. Dan. Prodromus, 1776, p. 7, lut it is a nomen nudum.
    ${ }^{3}$ Kerr, Animal Kingdom, 1792, p. 357. Kerr divides the "Common Whale," B. mysticetus, into three subspecies: 1, B. mysticetus granlundica; 2, B. mysticetus istandica; and 3, B. mysticetus major.

[^8]:    1\%oologiar 1 :anicar l'rodromms, 1776, 1. 7.
     have not seen the original work.
    ${ }^{3}$ Fianua Groulandic:a, 1780, 1. 10.
    'Vol. I, An X゙11 (180:3-1). 1. 197, pl. 8.
    $\therefore$ (Citologie, 178! , p. 5.
    
     tigs. 5-8.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Koologry of the vopage of the Fivebus mad Tervor, 1816.
    : Ahhamll. K. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 18:0-21 (1×22), 115. 27-40, pls. 1-5. (Fitle Allen.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Ilistoire Natmolle des Mammifires ret Oisuanx. Cétacés, 1828, 1. 312, ן1. 12. 'I'lo phato is copied from 11 unter, and represents 1 . Uento-rostrala.
    'Histoise Naturelles Iles Critacés, AıXII (I80:3-0.1), I, p. LiV.
    'If the humphack ol Now England waters is the sames specios, thon Megopierie nodos, (Bomatorre) is the corrcet namo. This can not bo taken for granted in the present paper.

